



Research Article

Micronutrient priming improves germination and seedling establishment in lentil

Ali Asghar Aliloo ^{1*}, Shirin Alahyari ¹ and Seyed Bahman Mosavi ²

¹ Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, P.O. Box 55181-83111, Iran.

² Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, P.O. Box 55181-83111, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: July 08, 2014

Revised: August 25, 2014

Accepted: September 25, 2014

Available online Nov. 23, 2014

Keywords:

Seed treatment

Lens culinaris

Stand establishment

ABSTRACT

Seed priming is one of the most effective methods of micronutrient delivery in dryland agriculture. However, possible effect(s) of the method must be evaluated on seed performance during germination and emergence. So, in this study, the influence of nutrient priming of lentil seeds was investigated by solutions of potassium iodide (KI), copper iodide (CuI), zinc iodide (ZnI₂) and zinc sulfate (ZnSO₄) under laboratory, greenhouse and field conditions. Experiments showed that the used compounds had no significant negative effects on seeds performance. Also, the responses of the seeds to applied micronutrients varied in different environments. The speed of germination for primed seeds was higher than that of unprimed seeds, especially at laboratory tests. When germination and emergence circumstances severed, the emergence percentage dramatically decreased from controlled conditions to field environments. It was concluded that seed treatment with the micronutrients, except for KI treatment, had a potential to improve seedling emergence and stand establishment under field conditions; therefore, the application of mentioned compounds could safely be used for different purposes.

* Corresponding Author;

E. Mail: aliloo@maragheh.ac.ir,

aliasghar.aliloo@gmail.com

© 2014 AAAS Journal. All rights reserved.

Global production of lentil is about 3.6 million tons (Fao, 2013). Globally lentil productivity in recent decade reached to 950 kg/ha by 2004–2006, however, these yields are still low in comparison with other crops yield because some plant factors limited yield potential of lentil landraces, which are also vulnerable to an array of stresses (Cokkizgin *et al.*, 2005). Yield limiting factors include lack of seedling vigor, slow leaf area development, high rate of flower

drop, low pod setting, poor dry matter, low harvest index, lack of lodging resistance, low or no response to inputs and exposure to various biotic and abiotic stresses (Erskine, 2009).

Plant establishment is a key factor in building plant density in the field (Jabbaria *et al.*, 2013). There are many contributions that affect seed germination and seedling emergence. In harsh environments, generally,

those yield affecting parameters can be easily disturbed and consequently the crop yield will be decreased. The crop yield is directly related to plant density and any negative deviation from the optimum rate causes yield loss (Dong *et al.*, 2010). The response of seed lots during seed germination and seedling emergence to adverse field conditions is unequal and research showed that seed size (Madanzia *et al.*, 2010) and seed vigor (Adetimirin, 2008) have significant role in those differences.

Seed priming or seed pre-treatment has been accepted as success means to alleviate seed vigor in horticultural and agronomical seeds (Farooq *et al.*, 2005). Many studies have been shown that primed seeds have improved some key field parameters including; field emergence percentage, emergence rate, phytochrome-induced dormancy, and increase the rate of germination at any particular temperature (Copeland and McDonald, 2001). Positive changes in those parameters could lead plant or crop to high performance. In seed priming generally seed are soaked in different osmotic and non-osmotic solutions for a determined time during which germination processes begin while radicle emergence has been prevented by osmotic potential or dehydration (McDonald, 2000). In a seed, the natural stages of germination occur up to the point of radicle emergence. Radicle emergence requires high seed water content (Bradford, 1995). So when we prime seeds, we limit their water content and the metabolic steps necessary for germination can occur without the irreversible act of radicle emergence. With primed seeds, plant stands emerge more rapidly and uniformly (Afzal *et al.*, 2009).

Plant physiological processes are susceptible to water deficit (Taiz and Zeiger, 2014), hence in semi-arid areas water shortage cause a minimum growth of crop plants. Soil physicochemical properties also are affected by

water stress and under these conditions mineral availability fall to a lower status (Misra and Tyler 2000). Many reports show the minerals with low diffusion capacity are more constraints. For instance, the low availability of phosphorus, zinc and copper is common in soils with low moisture contents (Khan *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, this study was aimed to use different nutrient seed priming treatments on lentil seeds to evaluate possible nutrient deficiency effects on germination, emergence and establishment of lentil in the laboratory, greenhouse and field conditions.

Materials and Methods

Seeds of *Lens culinaris* var. Kimya were obtained from international dry-land agricultural research institute, Maragheh, Iran. Seeds were cleaned and sorted by hand then the initial moisture content was calculated (ISTA, 2009). The standard germination revealed that seed lot viability was near to 99%. Seeds were kept at 4 °C in a refrigerator until the experiments were performed.

Seed priming

The effects of seed priming was evaluated by seed soaking in solutions of KI, CuI, ZnI₂ and ZnSO₄ with 100 ppm concentration for 6 hours. All priming treatments accompanied with control were performed at 20±2°C under light conditions. After priming, seeds were removed and rinsed under tap water for 3 min and then dried to the original moisture content (~10%) over a lab table. Primed seeds in all experiments were used immediately after preparation.

Laboratory germination and seedling vigor

Four replicates of 25 seeds were germinated between

double layered rolled germination papers. The rolled paper were put into plastic bags to avoid possible moisture losses. Seeds were allowed to germinate at $20\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ in the light for 14 days. Germination was considered to have occurred when the radicles were 2 mm long. Germination was recorded every 24 h for 14 days. Mean germination rate (R) was calculated according to Ellis & Roberts (1980).

$$R = \sum Ni / \sum (Di * Ni)$$

Where Ni is the number of seeds germinated on day D , Di is the number of days counted from the beginning of the test.

The vigor index was calculated according to following formula,

Vigor index (VI) = [Mean germination rate \times germination percentage]

The time to 50% germination (T50) was calculated according to the following formula of Farooq *et al.* (2005),

$$T50 = t_i + \frac{[(\frac{N}{2} - n_i) - (t_j - t_i)]}{n_j - n_i}$$

where N is the final number of emerged seeds and n , n_j are the cumulative number of seeds germinated by adjacent seed count at times t_i and t_j respectively, when $n_i < N/2 < n_j$.

Greenhouse emergence test

Greenhouse experiments were carried out using three different seed beds (media) including; soil (silty loam), silt (0.002-0.05 mm) and sand (0.05- 2.00 mm) with three replications. Plastic pots (size 30 \times 30 \times 40 cm) were filled with the same weight of media and then their water

contents were reached to field capacity. 50 Seeds (primed seeds and control) were planted in each pot. Pots were covered with plastic bags to avoid water losses. During experiments, the temperature fluctuated between 25 and 30 $^\circ\text{C}$. Number of emerged seedlings in pots within each plot was counted in daily intervals until seedling establishment became stable.

Field emergence

Field experiments were conducted in 2012 at the Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Maragheh University, Iran. The seeds were then sown at a depth of about 4 cm with a density of 80 seeds/ m^2 (25 cm \times 5 cm) during the early May (when soil temperature was above 8 $^\circ\text{C}$). The soil texture of the field was sandy loam. Number of emerged seedlings in an area of 1 m^2 within each plot was counted in daily intervals until seedling establishment became stable.

Statistical analysis

The experiments were carried out using a completely randomized design (CRD), a factorial experiment based on a completely randomized design and randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replicates for laboratory, greenhouse and field experiments, respectively. The data were analyzed using SAS software version 9.1. Duncan's multiple range tests at 5% level of significance, was used to separate means.

Results

Laboratory experiments

The results showed that effects of seed treatments were not significant on germination percentage, mean

Table 1. Effects of seed treatments on germination of lentil seeds in the laboratory

Treatment	Germination percentage	Mean germination rate	Vigor index	T50
CuI	100 ± 0.00 a	0.30 ± 0.05a	31.5 ± 3.54 a	2.25 ± 0.05a
KI	98.52 ± 0.14 a	0.27 ± 0.07a	27.3 ± 10.57a	2.50 ± 0.01ab
ZnI ₂	95.74 ± 4.51 a	0.29 ± 0.11a	28.1 ± 9.26 a	2.50 ± 0.01ab
ZnSo ₄	94.86 ± 1.05 a	0.32 ± 0.04a	31.6 ± 2.85 a	2.00 ± 0.21a
Control	97.21 ± 0.02 a	0.22 ± 0.19a	22.2 ± 5.73 a	3.25 ± 0.08b
<i>LSD</i> (0.05)	14.5018	0.0878	10.1567	0.2412

Means (Mean ± SE) with the same letter are not significant at $p < 0.05$.
The time to 50% germination (T50).

Table 2. Effects of seed treatments and media on germination and emergence of lentil see

Treatment	Emergence percentage	Mean emergence rate	Vigor index	T50
<i>Media</i>				
Sand	47.3 ± 10.83 b	0.09 ± 0.03b	4.54 ± 2.11 b	8.25 ± 0.41b
Silt	36.8 ± 5.54 b	0.16 ± 0.07a	6.66 ± 1.34 b	6.42 ± 0.29b
Soil	91.6 ± 1.62 a	0.20 ± 0.02a	18.9 ± 1.97 a	4.86 ± 0.43a
<i>LSD</i> (0.05)	13.2584	0.1281	4.0025	1.5369
<i>Seed treatments</i>				
CuI	53.5 ± 14.31 a	0.16 ± 0.07 a	9.77 ± 1.11 a	6.11 ± 2.14 a
KI	53.3 ± 13.82 a	0.17 ± 0.01 a	9.07 ± 1.25 a	7.87 ± 1.68 a
ZnI ₂	64.8 ± 11.93 a	0.14 ± 0.06 a	10.34 ± 1.31 a	6.37 ± 1.05 a
ZnSo ₄	62.2 ± 13.10 a	0.16 ± 0.03 a	10.52 ± 1.09 a	5.22 ± 2.76a
Control	58.8 ± 10.52 a	0.16 ± 0.09 a	10.51 ± 1.82a	6.57 ± 3.01a
<i>LSD</i> (0.05)	12.0081	0.0921	1.8541	2.9952

Means (Mean ± SE) with the same letter are not significant at $p < 0.05$.
The time to 50% emergence (T50).

Table 3. Effects of seed treatments on germination and emergence of lentil seeds in field condition

Treatment	Germination percentage	Mean germination rate	Vigor index	T50
CuI	51.11 ± 4.58 a	0.42 ± 0.19a	22.13 ± 5.95a	9.00 ± 3.25a
KI	42.44 ± 5.14 a	0.33 ± 0.08a	11.03 ± 3.54a	10.66 ± 1.81 a
ZnI ₂	56.00 ± 3.97 a	0.33 ± 0.07a	21.32 ± 9.85a	11.66 ± 3.57 a
ZnSo ₄	47.33 ± 8.25 a	0.38 ± 0.68a	26.99 ± 11.2a	9.66 ± 5.14 a
Control	48.22 ± 7.64 a	0.36 ± 0.25a	16.51 ± 2.04a	9.33 ± 4.98 a
<i>LSD</i> (0.05)	26.1423	0.8854	14.2674	5.2176

Means (Mean ± SE) with the same letter are not significant at $p < 0.05$.
The time to 50% emergence (T50)

germination rate and vigor index ($p \leq 0.05$). However, seed treatments significantly influenced T₅₀ (table 1). Seed treatments with CuI and KI slightly improved germination percentage compared to control and seeds

under CuI treatment completely germinated (table 1). Although the effects of seed treatments on mean germination rate and vigor index were not significant but all treatments improved this trait in comparison with

control. Among treatments, ZnSO₄ treatment had highest mean germination rate and vigor index (table 1). In comparison with control all priming treatments improved T₅₀, and the most effective treatment was ZnSO₄ priming.

Greenhouse experiments

Effects of different seed beds (media) on seed emergence, seedling emergence, vigor index and T₅₀ were significant at $p \leq 0.01$. However, seed priming had not remarkably influenced studied traits. Also, interaction between media and seed priming treatments were not significant ($p \leq 0.05$). Emergence percentage in soil media was 91.6% while the value decreased to below 50% for sand and silt substrates (table 2). Similarly, the highest value of the mean emergence rate, vigor index and T₅₀ obtained from the soil and followed by silt and sand media, respectively. With respect to table, seed treatments with zinc compounds, especially in ZnSO₄ treatment, improved establishment indexes compared with other treatment.

Field experiment

Results pertaining to seedling emergence percentage and mean emergence rate in this state revealed that there were not statistical differences between treatments.. Although, little enhancement was seen by CuI treatment for both seedling emergence percentage and mean emergence rate (table 3).

Discussion

The seed vigor may be affected by internal and external factors. Besides environmental circumstances, seed treatments consider as one of the main external factors

for some purposes such as bacterial inoculation, fungal inoculation, seed disinfection, priming and bio-fortification (McDonald, 2000). This study examined the nutrient priming effects on the seed vigor indexes. The studies revealed that the application of the micro-nutrients at priming processes had positive effects on seed vigor in comparison with control, particularly in ZnSO₄ treatment. When the seed germination condition was changed from laboratory to the field condition, the germination percentage and emergence were declined continuously. In this study, mean germination percentage for laboratory, greenhouse and field was 97%, 58% and 48%, respectively. Therefore, the standard germination test is not a reliable test for prediction of lentil seed performance at field conditions because germination in field conditions exposes seed to unfavorable conditions and the commonly used standard germination test cannot predict field emergence. This finding is accommodated with Perry (1987).

Emergence percentage and vigor indexes were significantly greater in soil media than those of the sand and silt media, noticeably (Table 2). The result suggested that the plant was susceptible to the soil texture thereby in textures with low water content capacity, the emergence percentage was dramatically decreased. It has been proved that species response to soil properties during germination and emergence is a species specific phenomenon (Schütz *et al.*, 2002; Penman *et al.*, 2008). Germination and seedling survival may differ among soil types, since moisture availability may be a function of soil type (Scheffer, 1998). Moisture requirements during germination and seedling growth, may therefore play a significant role in determining the plant establishment.

The fast rate of germination was obtained by pre-treatments (table 1), probably due to faster water uptake

and earlier initiation of metabolic processes at lab condition. Previous study about lentil seeds (Ghassemi-Golezani *et al.*, 2008) was clarified that hydro priming decreased imbibition time for germination, compared to control but maximum values of moisture content among the seed treatments did not change. During the pre-treatment, the seeds start to complete leg phase of germination hence primed seeds enter rapidly into phase III of imbibition once rehydrated during sowing (Bradford *et al.*, 1990). The laboratory test also revealed that among studied indexes, T_{50} is more sensitive index than the other vigor indices to discriminate minimum differences. The highest vigor index was obtained by $ZnSO_4$ treatment in both greenhouse and field studies which had not remarkably differences with other treatments. These results are in line with findings of Wang (2009) who suggested that Zn involves in physiological processes during early seedling development, possibly in protein synthesis, cell elongation membrane function and resistance to abiotic stresses. Seed priming with $ZnSO_4$ has improved seed germination, crop emergence, stand establishment, and subsequent growth and yield of *Echinacea purpurea* L. (Babaeva *et al.*, 1999), *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. (Kaya *et al.*, 2007), *Hordeum vulgare* L. (Ajouri *et al.*, 2004). Seed priming noticeably improved Zn uptake, the plant biomass and water use efficiency in water deficit conditions. Imran *et al.* (2013) reported that nutrient seed priming with Fe, Zn and Mn micronutrients improved early seedling development and root growth of maize exposed to low root zone temperatures.

Results showed that seed priming with Cu compound did not statistically affect emergence percentage and its rate. However, this treatment increased emergence percentage, rate of emergence and vigor index by 5%, 15% and 25%, respectively in comparison with control.

The findings seem to be consistent with Malhi (2009) who showed that CuEDTA priming on wheat seeds did not improve emergence percentage. In another experiment on Maize, Foti *et al.*, (2008) reported that $CuSO_4$ seed priming improved stand establishment by 43% compared with the untreated seeds. These data must be interpreted with caution because iodine salts, particularly KI, are poisonous to the plants thereby we used these compounds at low concentration.

Conclusion

To sum up, seed treatment with micronutrients, except for KI, had the potential to improve seedling emergence and stand establishment in field condition. Commonly, the method is a cost effective and economically more practicable than soil and foliar applications, especially for micronutrient delivery in dry land agriculture where both environmental conditions and technical problems limit the yield quantity and quality.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Maragheh University, Iran, for financial support of this work. The authors thank Dr. Farhad Mazlum from Department of English Language, Maragheh University for correcting the manuscript.

References

1. Adetimirin VO. (2008). Stand establishment and early field vigour variation in a tropicalised shrunken-2 maize population. *Field Crops Research*. 108:143–149.

2. Afzal I, Ashraf S, Qasim M, Basra S M A & Shahid M. (2009). Does halopriming improve germination and seedling vigour in marigold (*Tagetes* spp.). *Seed Science Technology*. 37:436-445.
3. Ajouri A, Asgedom H. & Becker M. (2004). Seed priming enhances germination and seedling growth of barley under conditions of P and Zn deficiency. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*. 167: 630-636.
4. Babaeva EY, Volobueva VF, Yagodin BA. & Klimakhin GI. (1999). Sowing quality and productivity of *Echinacea purpurea* in relation to soaking the seed in manganese and zinc solutions. *Izvestiya Timiryazevskoi Sel'skokhozyaistvennoi Akademii*. 4: 73-80.
5. Bradford KJ, Steiner JJ. & Trawatha SE. (1990). Seed priming influence on germination and emergence of paper seed lots. *Crop Science*. 30: 718–21.
6. Bradford KJ. (1990). A water relations analysis of seed germination rates. *Plant Physiology*. 94: 840849.
7. Cokkizgin A, Colkesen M, Kayhan K. & Aygan A. (2005). A research on yield and yield components in different winter lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medic.) cultivars under Kahramanmaras conditions. *Journal of Akdeniz University Agriculture Faculty*, 18: 285-290.
8. Copeland LO. & McDonald M.B. (2001). *Principles of Seed Science and Technology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 488 pp.
9. Dong H, Kong X, Li W, Tang W. & Zhang D. (2010). Effects of plant density and nitrogen and potassium fertilization on cotton yield and uptake of major nutrients in two fields with varying fertility. *Field Crops Research*. 119:106–113.
10. Ellis RH. & Roberts EH. (1980). Improved equations for the prediction of seed longevity. *Annals of Botany*. 45:13–30.
11. Erskine W, Muehlbauer FJ, Sharma B. & Sarke A. (2009). *The Lentil, Botany, Production and Uses*, MPG Books Group. 478 pp.
12. FAO. (2013). Faostat, Fao Statistical Database. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org>
13. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Hafeez K. & Ahmad N. (2005). Thermal hardening: A new seed vigor enhancement tool in rice. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*. 47: 187-193.
14. Farooq M, Basra SMA. & Wahid A. (2006). Priming of field-sown rice seed enhance germination, seedling establishment, allometrand yield. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 49: 285-294.
15. Foti R, Abureni K, Tigere A, Gotosa J. & Gere J. (2008). The efficacy of different seed priming osmotica on the establishment of maize (*Zea mays* L.) caryopses. *Journal of Arid Environment* 72: 1127-1130.
16. Ghassemi-Golezani K, Aliloo AA, Valizadeh M. & Moghaddam M. (2008). Effects of different priming techniques on seed invigoration and seedling establishment of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*. 6: 222-226.
17. Imran M, Mahmood A, Römheld V. & Neumann G. (2013). Nutrient seed priming improves seedling development of maize exposed to low root zone temperatures during early growth. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 49: 141–148.
18. ISTA. (2009). *International Rules for Seed Testing*. International Seed Testing Association, Bassersdorf, Switzerland.
19. Jabbaria H, Akbaria G, Khosh-Kholgh N, Alahdadia I, Hamed A. & Shariatpanahib M. (2013). Relationships between seedling establishment and soil moisture content for winter and spring rapeseed genotypes. *Industrial Crop Production*. 49:177–187.
20. Kaya M, Atak M, Khawar KM, Ciftci CY. & Ozcan S. (2007). Effect of pre-sowing seed treatment with zinc and foliar spray of humic acids on yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 7: 875-878.
21. Khan HR, McDonald GK. & Rengel Z. (2004). Zinc

- fertilization and water stress affects plant water relations, stomatal conductance and osmotic adjustment in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Plant and Soil*. 267: 271-284.
22. Madanzia T, Chiduzab C. & Richardson-Kageler SJ. (2010). Effects of planting method and seed size on stand establishment of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Merrill cv. Solitaire. *Soil and Tillage Research*. 106: 171–176.
23. Malhi SS. (2009). Effectiveness of seed-soaked Cu, autumn- versus spring-applied Cu, and Cu-treated P fertilizer on seed yield of wheat and residual nitrate-N for a Cu-deficient soil. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*. 89: 1017-1030.
24. McDonald MB. (2000). Seed priming. In: *Seed technology and its biological basis* (eds Black M and Bewley JD). CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, U.S.A. pp.287-325.
25. Misra A. & Tyler G. (2000). Effects of wet and dry cycles in calcareous soil on mineral nutrient uptake of two grasses, *Agrostis stolonifera* L. and *Festuca ovina* L. *Plant and Soil*. 224: 297-303.
26. Penman TD, Binns D, Allen R, Shiels R. & Plummer S. (2008). Germination responses of a dry sclerophyll forest soil-stored seedbank to fire related cues. *Cunninghamia*. 10: 547-555.
27. Perry DA. (1987). Seedling growth and evaluation test. In: *Handbook of Vigour Test Methods*, ed. F. Fiala, ISTA, Zurich, 10-20.
28. Schütz W, Milberg P. & Lamont BB. (2002). Germination requirements and seedling responses to water availability and soil type in four eucalypt species. *Acta Oecologica*. 23:23–30.
29. Taiz L, Zeiger E. (2014): *Plant Physiology*. <http://5e.plantphys.net>
30. Wang C, Zhang SH, Wang PF, Hou J, Zhang WJ, Li W. & Lin ZP. (2009). The effect of excess Zn on mineral nutrition and antioxidative response in rapeseed seedlings. *Chemosphere*. 75:1468-1476.